

Moss 2004 Evaluation

Science

	4	3	2	1	# votes	numerical
--	---	---	---	---	---------	-----------

Scientific content - overall rating

could have been better	adequate	good	superb	28	1.5
0	1	11	16		

Scientific value - oral presentations

could have been better	adequate	good	superb	28	1.6
0	1	14	13		

Scientific value - posters

could have been better	adequate	good	superb	28	1.7
0	0	20	8		



Significance to your work

could have been better	adequate	good	superb	28	1.9
1	4	15	8		

Exchange with other researchers

could have been better	adequate	good	superb	28	1.6
0	2	13	13		

science mean **1.7**



Organization



Organization of the conference - overall rating

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
0	0	6	22	28	1.2

Talk sessions

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
0	1	8	19	28	1.4

Poster sessions






could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
1	3	14	9	27	1.9

Internet access & computer facilities

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
0	1	6	18	25	1.3

Conference webpages & printed material

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
1	0	10	16	27	1.5



Organization - continued

4	3	2	1	# votes	numerical
---	---	---	---	---------	-----------

Catering

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
2	6	11	8	27	2.1

Registration desk & information

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
0	0	7	21	28	1.3

Accommodation

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
0	4	9	9	22	1.8

Social events and excursions

could have been better	adequate	good	superb		
0	0	5	21	26	1.2

organization mean 1.5

